UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO	
10/586,482	07/20/2006	Hirokazu Ishikawa	062661 4674	
	7590 11/25/200 , HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER		
1250 CONNEC	TICUT AVENUE, NV	CHEN, JOSE V		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/586,4	182	ISHIKAWA ET AL.		
		Examine	er	Art Unit		
		José V.	Chen	3637		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on ti	ne cover sheet with the	correspondence add	dress	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE WARRENGE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAIN STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAIN STATE OF THE MAIN	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. tutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this cor IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practic	2b)∏ This action is for allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters, p		merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers	re withdrawn from c				
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or betion to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Sired if the drawing(s) is contact the drawing(s) is contact the drawing(s) is contact the drawing (s) is contact the drawing (s).	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CF		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t (s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948)	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 5, 9-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim(s) 5, 9, 11 fail(s) to recite sufficient structural elements and interconnection of the elements to positively position and define the end plates provided at both ends of the guide block body in the travel direction of the rail, the end plates provided with rolling element direction change passages that form rolling element recirculation passages so that an integral structure able to function as claimed is recited. The limitations in the claims present a catalog of structures with no clear interconnection of the structures and definition as to how such structures function or perform.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,482 Page 3

Art Unit: 3637

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu in view of Takei et al. The patent to Liu teaches structure substantially as claimed including table (10), guide apparatus (fig. 3) including a ring-shaped integrated rail (26) having no discontinuity in a travel direction, guide blocks (fig. 3 at 38) Liu teaches structure substantially as claimed as discussed above including a rail, the only difference being that the rail does not include an L-shaped cross section and the guide blocks does not include a U-shaped cross sectional opening with the rail partially fitted into the opening from above. However, the patent to Takei et al (at fig. 4) teaches the use of providing a guide rail including an L-shaped cross section partially fitted into a rail from above to be old. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the structure of Liu to include an L-shaped guide rail, as taught by Takei et al since such structures are conventional alternative structures used in the same intended purpose and would have been reasonably predictable thereby providing structure as claimed. Note the rolling elements and passages of Takei et al. The use of a plurality or specific number and placement of rolling structure and guides is a matter of desirability of how much friction

Art Unit: 3637

and support is need which would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art since such structure would perform as expected and equally as well depending upon the parameters desired.

Claims 3, 6, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu in view of Takei et al as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Gilbert. The patent to Liu in view of Takei et al teaches structure substantially as claimed as discussed above including a rotary table, the only difference being that there is not a detecting mechanism. However, the patent to Gilberti(figs. 2, 8) teaches the use of providing a detecting mechanism to be old. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the structure of Liu in view of Takei et al to include a detecting mechanism, as taught by Gilberti since such structures are conventional structures used in the same intended purpose and would have been reasonably predictable thereby providing structure as claimed.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 9-11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08/27/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,482 Page 5

Art Unit: 3637

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José V. Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-6865. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f,m-th 5:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571)272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,482 Page 6

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

José V. Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3637

/José V. Chen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3637